SOMEWHERE, SOFIA COPPOLA - THE CAR AS A SOCIAL MASK

Soumis par admin le Mon, 07/16/2018 - 15:59

Auteur(s) (texte brut)

Hanja Maksim

Chapô

We have chosen the film Somewhere not only for the variety of mobility-related concepts it addresses, but also for the this domain that are central to the current interests in the social sciences in a particularly rich and relevant way: mobili associated with the myth of the automobile, and its opposite — the slow.

Présentation longue

/>_

change at the heart of the plot:In Coppola's film, the car is central to the plot because it plays a role. though itself not the focus of the film (which, as we know, is the instant social change Johnny is forced to undergo wh realization of the need to make this change takes place in a strange scene in which he does doughnuts in his Ferrari. The seems interminable, is confusing but in fact heralds the film's rhythm — a kind of warning device that invites us to foo the action, which is reduced to a strict minimum. The film ends with Johnny escorting his daughter back: the landscape and the urban setting vanishes. But the Ferrari's path this time is straight. His transformation is complete when, in the foot.Abandoning his most prized possession - his status symbol - is also a way of abandoning this social mask which was interrupted by the sudden appearance of his daughter. In the final scene he no longer needs his car, which h its abandonment does. The scenes alternate between the protagonist's loneliness when playing the role of actor (even t construction of a relationship with his daughter. Driving in circles is thus an expression of his social mobility reduced of. For Johnny, the car serves two purposes: it is both a mark of his vertical mobility (to reach an enviable social status mobility.<div><blockquote>Social mobility* Exploring mobility involves taking a com its social and spatial expressions.* In the 1920s, with the work of Sorokin and the Chicago School, mobility v space.* Like the works of Michel Bassand, mobility can be defined as all movement involving a change in the definition, mobility has both spatial and social qualities, thus restoring its richness.
((Sorokin defined vertical mobility as involving a change of position (upward or downward) on the socio-professional la status or category that involves no change in position on the social scale (Mobile Lives Forum - Lexicon - Mobility, by href="https://fr.forumviesmobiles.org/reperes/mobilite-446">https://fr.forumviesmobiles.org/reperes/mobilite-446 immobility:Even during the driving scenes, the script and plot still seem slow, possibly because the progress must be palpable. Many such slow scenes succeed one another and are expressed in different ways (i.e. the st The stillness that prevails in these moments is also interrupted by the movement of the Ferrari. The music however (w car - the symbol of speed - exists here in a slow environment. By contrast, Johnny's final trip happens quickly, and we him.<div><blockquote>Immobility and sedentarity* Mobility cannot be removed from a

relational, paradoxical, and thus always linked to its opposite: immobility (Albertsen and Diken, 2001; Beckmann, 200

```
immobility of others (Boltanski and Chiapello, 1999).
* There is no acceleration without slowing
down apo "liquification" and it is obtained in the control of the 
opposite, immobility, also means considering the entire system for managing places and their arrangement
(Lévy, 2004; Larsen et al., 2006).
* Sedentarity is the effect of emphasizing stability and social and
spatial anchoring. In contemporary Western societies, sedentarity is a means of avoiding social and spatial
change (<a href="https://fr.forumviesmobiles.org/reperes/sedentarite-454">Mobile Lives Forum – Lexicon –
Sedentarity, by Vincent Kaufmann</a>).</blockquote></div><strong>"The car as
a tool for saving face":</strong>If sociologists like Le Breton often use this
expression, it is because the automobile allows us to maintain the appearance of a particular social status
when other aspects of daily life deteriorate. Because the car may be the weapon of the poor, car owners do
their best to maintain their vehicles at all costs. In <em>Somewhere</em>, Johnny's gradual fall is
interrupted at regular intervals by the scenes of car mobility. He uses his sports car as a steadfast reflection
of his lifestyle, a refuge and a symbol of his own world—all topics that have mobilized the scientific
literature. Here, the car is never prevented from playing this role, as territories are defined by their optimal
hospitality for this mode in particular.<div><blockquote><em>The car as a social
norm</em>* The car is a social norm and, like all norms, it produces 'deviants.' While it is a tool
of social distinction, one of the distinctive features of poverty is <em>not </em>having one, and thus it acts
as a powerful social determinant. Having a car is a way of warding off exclusion and "saving face" (Le
Breton, 2005).
* The initial representation of the car during its democratization was that of the
bourgeois dream of personal autonomy, and with it, the more the outside world is excluded, the more this
dream seems to come true (Bull, 2004).<em>The car as a representation of the individual's inner
world</em>* The car is the reflection of a lifestyle - an extension of the self - and is easily
anthropomorphized: it is a place of emotion, a secure habitat, a domestic bubble (Sheller, 2004; Urry, 2007;
Löfgren, 2008).<em>A territory's hosting potential/receptiveness</em>* Every territory
has a specific field of possibilities to accommodate the projects of individual and collective actors. This is
what we call an area's potential receptiveness (<a href="https://fr.forumviesmobiles.org/reperes/potentiel-
daccueil-dun-territoire-554">Mobile Lives Forum – Lexicon – An area's potential receptiveness, by Vincent
Kaufmann</a>).</blockquote></div><strong>The car as a non-human
actor:</strong>The combination of the features of a sports car with that of a
human actor reflects a specific type of social being based on which certain authors have been able to identify
the building blocks of a form of hybridity or, going one step further, the first part of the Latourian concept of
non-human actors in travel and in the plot action. Therefore, as a permanent reflection of the privileged,
mundane lifestyle of its owner, it also has an impact on the plot action, made all the more interesting by the
fact that it is not the subject or purpose of the film. Finally, it also provides a support for the emotions of its
owner, as well as his process of social change. Symbolically, we understand that this process ends when
Johnny voices his regret at having been absent as a father. It is for this reason that he abandons his car and
continues on foot.<div><blockquote><em>The car as a social being</em><p
class="timeless">* From the connection between a car and driver is born a social being. It is a hybrid blend
of human skill and human will that is associated with cars, roads or constructions, which lead to the notion
align="left"><em>The car as a non-human actor in travel</em>* The car is a non-
human actor in that it is also involved in the action and has an impact on it (Latour, in: Dant,
2004).</blockquote></div><strong>What the film brings to the research:
wandering and vain mobility:</strong><em>Somewhere</em> could potentially
inspire research by its approach to individual mobility, which is rarely addressed in the research as it is here
— in the form of wandering. It differs from strolling, walking or mobilities of discovery, which have no
particular destination, by not only the absence of a destination altogether, but also an absence of meaning.
Johnny lives this "bad mobile life" with great intensity, punctuated by circular, repetitive, frequent, polluting
trips by car and plane for casting calls that, for him, have neither form nor meaning. However, and although
the actor is unaware of it, this movement is rich in that it is an essential part of the long, slow process of
social change that takes place over the course of the film. While the scientific literature emphasizes the
obsolescence of the idea that travel is limited to getting from point A to point B, focusing increasingly on the
activities and experiences that take place between the two, it does not cease just because of the latter's
```

```
absence.<div><blockquote><em>Strolling</em>* The
stroller divable se "panetion control essengen effa "place/and toutake his bild estriction eximply in this order to feaust one sore/then
the pleasure of traveling, discovery and recreation (Montulet, 1998; Urry, 2007; Adey,
2010).</blockquote></div><strong><em>References :</em></strong><p
class="timeless">Adey P. (2010), <em>Mobility. </em>Oxon: Routledge.
class="timeless">Albertsen N. & Diken B. (2001), <em>Mobility, Justification, and the City.</em>
Department of Sociology, Lancaster University.
class="timeless">Bauman Z. (2000), <em>Liquid
Modernity</em>. Cambridge: Polity Press.
class="timeless">Beckmann J. (2004), <em>Mobility
and Safety</em>. Theory, Culture & Society, Vol. 21, N° 4-5, 81-100 (2004).
class="timeless">Boltanski L., Chiapello E. (1999), <em>Le </em><em>nouvel esprit du
capitalisme</em>. Paris&nbsp;: Gallimard.Bull M. (2004), <em>Automobility
and the Power of Sound.</em> Theory, Culture & Society, Vol. 21, N°. 4-5, 243-259.
class="timeless">Dant T. (2004), <em>The Driver-Car. </em>Theory, Culture & Driver-Car. </em>Theory, Culture & Driver-Car. </em>
4-5, 61-79 (2004).
class="timeless">Larsen J., Urry J., Axhausen K. (2006),<em> Social networks
and future mobilities</em>. Lancaster University, IVT, ETH.Le Breton E. (2005),
<em>Bouger pour s'en sortir. Mobilité quotidienne et intégration sociale</em>. Paris&nbsp;: Armand
Colin.
class="timeless">Lévy J. (2004), <em>Modèle de mobilité, modèle d'urbanité.
</em>In&nbsp:: Allemand et al., 'Les sens du mouvement', Paris : Belin.Löfgren,
O. (2008), <em>Motion and Emotion: Learning to be a Railway Traveller</em>. Mobilities, 3:3, 331-
351.
class="timeless">Montulet B. (1998), <em>Les enjeux spatio-temporels du social</em>. Paris:
L'Harmattan.
class="timeless">Sheller M. (2004), <em>Automotive Emotions – Feeling the
car</em>. Theory, Culture & Society, Vol. 21, N° 4-5, 221-242.Thrift N.
(2005), <em>Driving in the City. </em>In: Featherstone M. et al., 'Automobilities', London&nbsp;:
Sage.Urry J. (2007), <em>Mobilities.</em> Oxford : Polity
Press.<em>&nbsp;</em>
Date de publication
September 2013
Auteur(s)
Hanja Maksim (Sociologue)
Index / Ordre d'affichage
```